7

No. ID/HSR/47/82/33977.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinh that an irdustrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mange Ram and the management (Haryana Roadways, Jind, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for aiudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864—ASO-(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No 9641-I-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mange Ram was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/67/82/33984.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Umed Singh and the management of Haryana Roadways, Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication; .

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Umed Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/53/82/33990.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Pale Ram and the management of M/s Gawar Gum (India) Pvt. Ltd., 12/6, Nizam Pur Road, Narnaul, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabac, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab/68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Pale Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/136/82/33997.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Pop Singh and the management of M/s Veegee Industrial Enterprises, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Harvana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :--

Whether the termination of service of Shri Pop Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/29/82/34004.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hanuman Prasad, and the management of Executive Engineer, Nigana Feeder, Bhiwani, (ii) The Sub-Divisonal Officer, Siwani Development Sub-Divn-1, Tosham, Bhiwani, regarding the matter hardinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hanuman Prayad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/94/82/34019.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Khem Chand and the management of M/s Robindra Textile Mills Pvt. Ltd., 14/5, Mathura Roal, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 54:4-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Khem Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/81/82/34026.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sushil Kumar and the management of M/s Capital Laboratories, D-15, Industrial Area, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted, under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government Notification No. 3864-ASO (E)Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sushil Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID'SPT/81/82/34033.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Inder Singh and the management of M/s Capital Laboratories, D-15, Industrial Area, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labou-Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Inder Singh was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/81/82/34040.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Krishan kal-II and the management of M/s Capital Laboratories, D-15, Industrial Area, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby tefers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Krishan Lal-II was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/81/82/34047.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Krishan Lal-I and the management of M/s Capital Laboratories, D-15, Industrial Area, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Krishan Lal-I was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 22nd July, 1982

No. ID/FD/88/82/34260.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Gopi Singh and the management of M/s Shree Ganesh Synthetics Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 10, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Gopl Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34268.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dharam Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant, Murthal, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34275.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kumar and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant, Murthal, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted, under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, -vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34282.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that on industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Anand Kumar and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant, Murthal, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Anand Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34289.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kawar, s/o Karan Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant, Murthal, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rum Kawar, s/o Karan Singh, was justified and in order? It not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34296.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Randhir Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant, Murthal, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E)-Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Randhir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34303.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ran Bir and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant, Murthal, Sonepat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970 the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ran Bir was justified and in order If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34310.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Balwan Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant Murthal, Sonepat regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, —vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab.70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Balwan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34317.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Ishwar Singh, and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruits Processing Plant Murthal, Sonepat, regarding the the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers confarred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government Notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ishwar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relif is he entitled?

No. ID/SPT/37/82/34324.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Chander Bhan, and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Foods and Fruit Processing Plant Murthal, Someput regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947,—vide Government Notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chander Bhan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/89/82/34331.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Mauji Ram and the management of M/s Friends Rubber Works Sihi Gate, Ballabgarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the disp te for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of Industrial, Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

whether the termination of service of Shri Mauji Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?